

Don't Worry About the Dollar!

Back in the mid-1970s, when I was just a "pup" in this business, I went to one of my mentors and said, "Lucien, it looks to me as if the dollar is going to go down. Should I be worried about stocks if that happens?" Lucien Hooper, sitting behind his desk, lowered *The Wall Street Journal* just enough so that I could see his eyes and said, "Don't worry about the declining dollar because stocks will go up enough to offset it!" Sure enough, the 28% decline in the U.S. dollar was offset by a 30% rise in the S&P 500. And that appears to be what is happening again as the U.S. Dollar Index broke to new reaction "lows" the first part of last week (basis the December Future) and stocks rallied. Worth mentioning is that despite the media's "beating of the dollar like a rented mule," the Dollar Index still resides above the "lows" made back in the spring and summer of 2008.

Nevertheless, the dollar's weakness has clearly been very positive for our "stuff stocks" (precious/base-metals, agriculture, energy, cement, timber, etc.), as well as stocks in general, and we have been bullish. Most recently, we have suggested, "that with credit spreads below their pre-Lehman bankruptcy levels there should be no reason why the equity markets can't 'fill up' the downside vacuum created in the charts by said bankruptcy, as can be seen in the following charts. That gives the S&P 500 an upside target of 1200 – 1250" (we include those charts again this morning). One admittedly very bright Canada-based strategist, however, took exception to my statement in last week's *Barron's* magazine. The only problem was, he got my quote wrong. As reprised:

"Picking up on a pronouncement by a chief investment officer of an investment firm that 'we're still at levels that are lower than we were before Lehman Brothers [went belly-up]. We're vastly better off than we were then.' After stating a bunch of economic statistics that are worse now than back then he concluded, 'If this is 'vastly better off,' (I) shudder to think what 'worst off' would look like."

Now, I don't mind ANYONE disagreeing with me. That's what makes a market. But, at least get the quote right! I said nothing about the economy and certainly didn't suggest that the environment is "vastly better now than it was then." The word "vastly" is particularly disturbing to me because it was never used, which caused one savvy seer to remark, "Why should you be upset by a strategist that completely missed the March lows and has hence been bearish all the way up?!" Of course, while people that live in "glass houses" (like me) should never "throw stones," if that strategist wants to debate the economy I offer the following.

To begin, clearly all is not well with the economy. Yet, statistics on industrial production, jobless claims, leading indicators, Philly Fed reports, etc. all show that the signs of recovery remain in place. In many cases, however, select pundits focus on the idea that some of the numbers are not as strong as expected. While that may be true, we are far more interested in the underlying trends. For example, industrial production bottomed about a year ago and has been positive for the past seven months. Meanwhile, jobless claims topped out last spring and have been trending lower ever since. Moreover, the recent Philly Fed number showed the strongest reading since the recession began; and, it showed that inventories continued to shrink. With inventories plumbing record lows there is little doubt corporate America will begin to replenish them.

Indeed, John Chambers, the CEO of Cisco, recently remarked, "The numbers are indicating that we are in the early, initial phase of a recovery – with the U.S. leading the way. The number for U.S. enterprise orders was dramatic. I think we are entering a period very similar to 1997 to 2004 where you will see a decade run of productivity increases." In past missives we have stated that this recession was an anomaly since it was the first time productivity soared. Clearly, that productivity "hop" was because corporate America cut costs dramatically. Since corporate revenues were hampered by unemployment, a reticent consumer, limited bank lending, etc. companies turned to the only thing under their control, cost cutting. The result caused productivity to catapult. Therefore, any pick-up in final demand falls straight to the profit line of corporate America. As our friends at the sagacious GaveKal organization note:

"Steve explains why labor productivity is the most important cyclical variant to profitability—more so than the recent drop in the cost of capital. Indeed, the surge in labor productivity (+330% since its March low), reduces capital needs at corporations. This in turn helps explain both why cashflow as a percentage of GDP is so high, and why the economy has managed to return to positive growth even as credit continues to contract.

Moreover, this reality should offer some comfort to those worried that increased government borrowing will crowd out the private sector, and adds credence to the rally in the equities this year. We think profits and

Please read domestic and foreign disclosure/risk information beginning on page 4 and Analyst Certification on page 4.

cashflows should continue to exceed expectations, which is very bullish for the US market, especially relative to economies that are not keeping pace with productivity gains (e.g., Europe and Japan)."

Plainly, productivity gains have driven this year's explosion in corporate profits. In 3Q09 corporate profits surged 10.6% quarter-over-quarter (Q/Q), or at nearly a 50% Q/Q annual rate. More importantly, corporate profits have increased at a 28.6% annual rate over the last three quarters. As repeatedly stated, corporate profits drive an inventory re-building cycle, which leads to capital expenditures that causes corporations to hire people. Once employment gains kick in, consumption rises. That's the way it has always played and that's the way we think it plays this time.

As for the stock market, clearly the *causa proxima* for "Friday's Fade" (-154 DJIA) was Dubai's default. Yet, that should have come as no surprise since the handwriting was on the wall back in 2006 when 24% of the world's construction cranes were operating in Dubai. If that's not the sign of a bubble I don't know what is. Moreover, last year, and most of this year, there were numerous stories telegraphing the pending economic collapse as Dubai's airport became repeatedly clogged with abandoned cars left behind by debt-ridden, laid-off foreign workers who were fleeing the country to escape Dubai's "debtors' prison." No, in my opinion the Dubai debacle is the result of the fallout from the previous bubble and not the start of another round of emerging markets' systemic crisis. Spurred by Friday's news, I went back and studied the three sovereign defaults that initially came to mind when I heard about Dubai. They were the Mexican default of 1982, the Russian default of 1998, and the Argentina default of 2001. Following those defaults the worst decline in the S&P 500 was 8.5%.

The call for this week: Friday's Dubai-induced selling was exaggerated by the limited audience so that sellers sold into a vacuum. Consequently, it will be interesting to see what happens the first part of this week when "The Street" returns from its extended holiday. Still, the shortened session turned out to be a 90% Downside Day. Such days are typically followed by a three- to seven-session "throwback rally" and then participants can determine if there is more to come on the downside. Yet as the keen Lowry's services writes, "Over Lowry's 76 year history, no major market top has formed without being preceded by at least several months of rising Selling Pressure. But, currently, Selling Pressure has been recording new lows in a downtrend dating from the Index's peak in March. Therefore, absent a sustained rise in Selling Pressure, the probabilities are against the formation of a major top and favor the continuation of the primary trend higher." That said, the divergences we have cited for the past month continue to mount. Most notable has been the lagging performance of the previously market-leading small/mid-cap stocks in favor of the large caps. This is what typically happens after a "run" like we have seen because portfolio managers don't want to "bet" their jobs, which they are not when playing the large cap universe. Over the past few months we have suggested that portfolios be tilted toward large caps for this reason. We also continue to favor special situations like 5.8%-yielding, Outperform-rated Spectra Energy (SEP/\$27.69).

P.S. We are traveling again this week, so these will likely be the last strategy comments of the week.



Important Investor Disclosures

Raymond James is the global brand name for Raymond James & Associates (RJA) and its non-US affiliates worldwide. Raymond James & Associates is located at The Raymond James Financial Center, 880 Carillon Parkway, St. Petersburg, FL 33716, (727) 567-1000. Affiliates include the following entities, which are responsible for the distribution of research in their respective areas. In Canada, Raymond James Ltd., Suite 2200, 925 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 3L2, (604) 659-8200. In Latin America, Raymond James Latin America, Ruta 8, km 17, 500, 91600 Montevideo, Uruguay, 00598 2 518 2033. In Europe, Raymond James European Equities, 40, rue La Boetie, 75008, Paris, France, +33 1 45 61 64 90.

This document is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity that is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country, or other jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation. The securities discussed in this document may not be eligible for sale in some jurisdictions. This research is not an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. It does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Past performance is not a guide to future performance, future returns are not guaranteed, and a loss of original capital may occur. **Investors should consider this report as only a single factor in making their investment decision.**

Investing in securities of issuers organized outside of the U.S., including ADRs, may entail certain risks. The securities of non-U.S. issuers may not be registered with, nor be subject to the reporting requirements of, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. There may be limited information available on such securities. Investors who have received this report may be prohibited in certain states or other jurisdictions from purchasing the securities mentioned in this report. Please ask your Financial Advisor for additional details.

The information provided is as of the date above and subject to change, and it should not be deemed a recommendation to buy or sell any security. Certain information has been obtained from third-party sources we consider reliable, but we do not guarantee that such information is accurate or complete. Persons within the Raymond James family of companies may have information that is not available to the contributors of the information contained in this publication. Raymond James, including affiliates and employees, may execute transactions in the securities listed in this publication that may not be consistent with the ratings appearing in this publication.

Additional information is available on request.

Analyst Information

Registration of Non-U.S. Analysts: The analysts listed on the front of this report who are not employees of Raymond James & Associates, Inc., are not registered/qualified as research analysts under FINRA rules, are not associated persons of Raymond James & Associates, Inc., and are not subject to NASD Rule 2711 and NYSE Rule 472 restrictions on communications with covered companies, public companies, and trading securities held by a research analyst account.

Analyst Holdings and Compensation: Equity analysts and their staffs at Raymond James are compensated based on a salary and bonus system. Several factors enter into the bonus determination including quality and performance of research product, the analyst's success in rating stocks versus an industry index, and support effectiveness to trading and the retail and institutional sales forces. Other factors may include but are not limited to: overall ratings from internal (other than investment banking) or external parties and the general productivity and revenue generated in covered stocks.

The views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal views of the analyst(s) covering the subject securities. No part of said person's compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views contained in this research report. In addition, said analyst has not received compensation from any subject company in the last 12 months.

Ratings and Definitions

Raymond James & Associates (U.S.) definitions

Strong Buy (SB1) Expected to appreciate and produce a total return of at least 15% and outperform the S&P 500 over the next six months. For higher yielding and more conservative equities, such as REITs and certain MLPs, a total return of at least 15% is expected to be realized over the next 12 months.

Outperform (MO2) Expected to appreciate and outperform the S&P 500 over the next 12 months. For higher yielding and more conservative equities, such as REITs and certain MLPs, an Outperform rating is used for securities where we are comfortable with the relative safety of the dividend and expect a total return modestly exceeding the dividend yield over the next 12 months.

Market Perform (MP3) Expected to perform generally in line with the S&P 500 over the next 12 months and is potentially a source of funds for more highly rated securities.

Underperform (MU4) Expected to underperform the S&P 500 or its sector over the next six to 12 months and should be sold.

Raymond James Ltd. (Canada) definitions

Strong Buy (SB1) The stock is expected to appreciate and produce a total return of at least 15% and outperform the S&P/TSX Composite Index over the next six months.

Outperform (MO2) The stock is expected to appreciate and outperform the S&P/TSX Composite Index over the next twelve months.

Market Perform (MP3) The stock is expected to perform generally in line with the S&P/TSX Composite Index over the next twelve months and is potentially a source of funds for more highly rated securities.

Underperform (MU4) The stock is expected to underperform the S&P/TSX Composite Index or its sector over the next six to twelve months and should be sold.

Raymond James Latin American rating definitions

Strong Buy (1) Expected to appreciate and produce a total return of at least 25.0% over the next twelve months.

Buy (2) Expected to appreciate and produce a total return of between 15.0% and 25.0% over the next twelve months.

Hold (3) Expected to perform in line with the underlying country index.

Underperform (4) Expected to underperform the underlying country index.

Raymond James European Equities rating definitions

Strong Buy (1) Absolute return expected to be at least 10% over the next 12 months and perceived best performer in the sector universe.

Buy (2) Absolute return expected to be at least 10% over the next 12 months.

Fair Value (3) Stock currently trades around its fair price and should perform in the range of -10% to +10% over the next 12 months.

Sell (4) Expected absolute drop in the share price of more than 10% in next 12 months.

Rating Distributions

Out of approximately 766 rated stocks in the Raymond James coverage universe, 47% have Strong Buy or Outperform ratings (Buy), 45% are rated Market Perform (Hold) and 8% are rated Underperform (Sell). Within those rating categories, 26% of the Strong Buy- or Outperform (Buy) rated companies either currently are or have been Raymond James Investment Banking clients within the past three years; 14% of the Market Perform (Hold) rated companies are or have been clients and 13% of the Underperform (Sell) rated companies are or have been clients.

Suitability Categories (SR)

For stocks rated by Raymond James & Associates only, the following Suitability Categories provide an assessment of potential risk factors for investors. Suitability ratings are not assigned to stocks rated Underperform (Sell). Projected 12-month price targets are assigned only to stocks rated Strong Buy or Outperform.

Total Return (TR) Lower risk equities possessing dividend yields above that of the S&P 500 and greater stability of principal.

Growth (G) Low to average risk equities with sound financials, more consistent earnings growth, possibly a small dividend, and the potential for long-term price appreciation.

Aggressive Growth (AG) Medium or higher risk equities of companies in fast growing and competitive industries, with less predictable earnings and acceptable, but possibly more leveraged balance sheets.

High Risk (HR) Companies with less predictable earnings (or losses), rapidly changing market dynamics, financial and competitive issues, higher price volatility (beta), and risk of principal.

Venture Risk (VR) Companies with a short or unprofitable operating history, limited or less predictable revenues, very high risk associated with success, and a substantial risk of principal.

Raymond James Relationship Disclosures

Raymond James expects to receive or intends to seek compensation for investment banking services from the subject companies in the next three months.

Company Name	Disclosure
Spectra Energy Partners L.P.	Raymond James & Associates co-managed an initial public offering of SEP shares in June 2007 and a follow-on offering of 9.8 million SEP shares at \$22.00 per share in May 2009.

Additional Risk and Disclosure information, as well as more information on the Raymond James rating system and suitability categories, is available at rjcapitalmarkets.com/SearchForDisclosures_main.asp. Copies of research or Raymond James' summary policies relating to research analyst independence can be obtained by contacting any Raymond James & Associates or Raymond James Financial Services office (please see raymondjames.com for office locations) or by calling 727-567-1000, toll free 800-237-5643 or sending a written request to the Equity Research Library, Raymond James & Associates, Inc., Tower 3, 6th Floor, 880 Carillon Parkway, St. Petersburg, FL 33716.

International securities involve additional risks such as currency fluctuations, differing financial accounting standards, and possible political and economic instability. These risks are greater in emerging markets.

Small-cap stocks generally involve greater risks. Dividends are not guaranteed and will fluctuate. Past performance may not be indicative of future results.

Investors should consider the investment objectives, risks, and charges and expenses of mutual funds carefully before investing. The prospectus contains this and other information about mutual funds. The prospectus is available from your financial advisor and should be read carefully before investing.

For clients in the United Kingdom:

For clients of Raymond James & Associates (RJA) and Raymond James Financial International, Ltd. (RJFI): This report is for distribution only to persons who fall within Articles 19 or Article 49(2) of the Financial Services and Markets Act (Financial Promotion) Order 2000 as investment professionals and may not be distributed to, or relied upon, by any other person.

For clients of Raymond James Investment Services, Ltd.: This report is intended only for clients in receipt of Raymond James Investment Services, Ltd.'s Terms of Business or others to whom it may be lawfully submitted.

For purposes of the Financial Services Authority requirements, this research report is classified as objective with respect to conflict of interest management. RJA, Raymond James Financial International, Ltd., and Raymond James Investment Services, Ltd. are authorized and regulated in the U.K. by the Financial Services Authority.

For institutional clients in the European Economic Area (EEA) outside of the United Kingdom:

This document (and any attachments or exhibits hereto) is intended only for EEA institutional clients or others to whom it may lawfully be submitted.

For Canadian clients:

Review of Material Operations: The Analyst and/or Associate is required to conduct due diligence on, and where deemed appropriate visit, the material operations of a subject company before initiating research coverage. The scope of the review may vary depending on the complexity of the subject company's business operations.

This report is not prepared subject to Canadian disclosure requirements.

Proprietary Rights Notice: By accepting a copy of this report, you acknowledge and agree as follows:

This report is provided to clients of Raymond James only for your personal, noncommercial use. Except as expressly authorized by Raymond James, you may not copy, reproduce, transmit, sell, display, distribute, publish, broadcast, circulate, modify, disseminate or commercially exploit the information contained in this report, in printed, electronic or any other form, in any manner, without the prior express written consent of Raymond James. You also agree not to use the information provided in this report for any unlawful purpose.

This report and its contents are the property of Raymond James and are protected by applicable copyright, trade secret or other intellectual property laws (of the United States and other countries). United States law, 17 U.S.C. Sec.501 et seq, provides for civil and criminal penalties for copyright infringement.