Irrational exuberance and neural crash warning signals during endogenous experimental market bubbles
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Groups of humans routinely misassign value to complex future events, especially in settings involving the exchange of resources. If properly structured, experimental markets can act as excellent probes of human group-level valuation mechanisms during pathological overvaluations—price bubbles. The connection between the behavioral and neural underpinnings of such phenomena has been absent, in part due to a lack of enabling technology. We used a multisubject functional MRI paradigm to measure neural activity in human subjects participating in experimental asset markets in which endogenous price bubbles formed and crashed. Although many ideas exist about how and why such bubbles may form and how to identify them, our experiment provided a window on the connection between neural responses and behavioral acts (buying and selling) that created the bubbles. We show that aggregate neural activity in the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) tracks the price bubble and that NAcc activity aggregated within a market predicts future price changes and crashes. Furthermore, the lowest-earning subjects express a stronger tendency to buy as a function of measured NAcc activity. Conversely, we report a signal in the anterior insular cortex in the highest earners that precedes the impending price peak, is associated with a higher propensity to sell in high earners, and that may represent a neural early warning signal in these subjects. Such markets could be a model system to understand neural and behavior mechanisms in other settings where emergent group-level activity exhibits mistaken belief or valuation.

Significance

Asset price bubbles are an important example of human group decision making gone awry, but the behavioral and neural underpinnings of bubble dynamics remain mysterious. In multisubject markets determined by 11–23 subjects, with 2–3 subjects simultaneously scanned using functional MRI, we show how behavior and brain activity interact during bubbles. Nucleus accumbens (NAcc) activity tracks the price bubble and predicts future price changes. Traders who buy more aggressively based on NAcc signals earn less. High-earning traders have early warning signals in the anterior insular cortex before prices reach a peak, and sell coincidently with that signal, precipitating the crash. These experiments could help understand other cases in which human groups badly miscompute the value of actions or events.
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Table S4). In the call market mechanism that we use, subjects trade only when the market clearing price is below their expressed maximum willingness to buy (best bid), or is above their expressed minimum willingness to sell (best offer). Because the market price is unknown when the orders are placed, any trade therefore results in a positive reward prediction error. The NAcc receives a high density of projections from midbrain dopamine neurons, which are known to encode reward prediction error signals (10). Therefore, these GLM results are consistent with hundreds of studies that indicate that the NAcc plays a central role in the encoding of reinforcement, subjective value, and reward (11–13) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B).

To connect the temporal dynamics of neural activity to the valuation dynamics reflected in the market price, we extracted trial-to-trial BOLD signal responses to the “Trading Results” screen (which shows both prices and bought-or-sold information) in a region of interest (ROI) centered on the bilateral NAcc [Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) [±12, 8, –8]; see SI Appendix, Fig. S2A]. Recent studies have suggested a role for the NAcc in the evaluation of both states and policies (14), and we hypothesized that the time series of neural activity in the NAcc would contain information about the current state of the market (15). To test this hypothesis, we realigned neural and behavioral data from all of the sessions on a common timescale with 0 marking the peak price in each session. We then averaged the BOLD signal in our NAcc ROI across all subjects and then computed the moving average of the previous five periods of aggregate NAcc activity. The resulting moving-average NAcc time series is associated with the current level of the endogenous price bubble (Fig. 3B).

We next investigated whether neural activity in the NAcc could signal future price changes within a given market session. To do so, we calculated the five-period moving-average NAcc signal (as described above) within each session, and sorted this measure into three terciles. For each tercile of our market-level measure of NAcc activity, we computed the mean of the five-period forward return, \( (p_{t+5} - p_t)/p_t \). Fig. 3C shows a clear and statistically significant difference between forward returns in the lowest tercile and forward returns in the highest tercile, with high values of NAcc activity associated with the lowest returns \( (P < 0.001, \text{Mann–Whitney/Wilcoxon rank sum test for equality of distributions}) \). Furthermore, Fig. 3D shows that when the within-session NAcc moving average is high, the empirical probability of a crash (defined as a drop of more than 50% in price over the next five trading rounds) is more than four times greater than when it is low (0.117 vs. 0.026; baseline, 0.075; \( P < 0.001 \), Fisher's exact test). Within the context of these experiments, neural activity in the NAcc appears to predict future changes in the price of the risky asset. Lower levels of NAcc activity are associated with higher future returns and low likelihood of a crash, whereas higher levels of NAcc activity are associated with low future returns and increased likelihood of a crash.

Many finance theories of bubbles describe mixtures of naïve backward-looking “momentum” investors, fundamental traders, and sophisticated investors who plan ahead (16–18). When bubbles form and crash, these three types will have low, medium, and high earnings, respectively. To investigate the relationship between individual task performance and neural activity, we sorted all subjects into three terciles of experimental earnings. These groups clearly have different patterns of dynamic share trading (Fig. 4A). However, Fig. 4B shows that the moving-average NAcc time series in the highest and lowest-earning groups are similar across trading periods.

Because average NAcc signal dynamics are similar in these two groups, but earnings performances are so different, we looked for an association across individuals between their NAcc-buying sensitivity and performance. For each trader, we computed \( \partial p(B_{t+1})/\partial N_t \) via logistic regression, where \( p(B_{t+1}) \) is the probability of buying at time \( t + 1 \) and \( N_t \) is the average NAcc activity at time \( t \) over the five periods from \( t - 4 \) to \( t \). The partial derivative \( \partial p(B_{t+1})/\partial N_t \) is a brain-buying signal: it measures the change in propensity to buy as a function of recent NAcc activity.
Fig. 4C plots individual trader profits against $\left( \partial p(B_{t+1})/\partial N_t \right)$. The relation is significantly negative ($\rho = -0.52$, $P < 0.001$). The negative slope measures the economic cost of “following one’s nucleus accumbens.”

We also used interval regression analysis to estimate the independent contribution of neural activity in several ROIs to future valuation (SI Appendix). We find that the NAcc response is positively associated with demand for the risky asset in subsequent trading periods, after controlling for observable variables such as returns, dividend yield, and the individual’s current policy (SI Appendix, Table S7). This pattern appears to be driven by a stronger brain–behavior link in low-earning subjects.

Although low earners are net buyers around the price peak, high earners begin to sell their shares a few periods before the
bubble peak (Fig. 4A). To investigate the neural activity associated with the switch to selling before the peak, we focused a priori on the anterior insular cortex. The insula is an “interoceptive” area that is active during bodily discomfort and unpleasant emotional states, such as pain, anxiety, and disgust. Its anterior region is thought to be associated with the awareness of bodily states (19, 20). Anterior insula is also activated by financial risk (21, 22) and by variance in prediction errors, a measure of uncertainty in temporal-difference learning models (23). We hypothesized that neural activity in the anterior insula might motivate sophisticated participants to begin selling the risky asset. Fig. 4D shows the average BOLD activity paths from the anterior insular cortices of the high- and low-earnings groups (using an ROI centered at MNI [36, 24, 2], radius of 6 mm, based on ref. 21; SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Near the time that the two groups begin their respective shifts to selling and buying, insula activity increases in the high earners but there is no similar response in the low earners.

Analogously to the previous NAcc-buying sensitivity analysis, we measured the association between the insula-selling relationship and performance. Fig. 4E plots total earnings against \( \frac{\partial P(Buy_{t+1})}{\partial I_{t}} \), where \( P(Buy_{t+1}) \) is the probability of selling at time \( t + 1 \), and \( I_{t} \) is the average neural activity in the right anterior insula at time \( t \) over the previous five periods (including time \( t \)). This measure of the neural brain-selling link is positively correlated with performance (\( \rho = 0.46, P < 0.003 \)). In the high earners, the right anterior insula signal seems to encode a risk detection or warning signal that is associated with selling profitably.

**Discussion**

The experimental method is ideal for understanding the neuro-physiology of asset bubbles, because the experimenter can control the fundamental asset value, and hence clearly identify when prices are too high (1–3, 18, 24, 25). Our experimental design used live trading to show that asset price bubbles result endogenously from interactions between different types of traders. Traders react to buy or sell events and represent bubble magnitude commonly in the NAcc [also observed in other investment decision tasks (26–28)]. Elevated NAcc activity is associated with low future returns and higher likelihood of a crash. Therefore, NAcc activity in our experiments appears to provide an indicator for price bubbles that is consistent with historical accounts of euphoria and irrational exuberance near the peak in prices.

Traders who buy more aggressively given NAcc signals perform worse in the task. The slope of this buy/NAcc relation represents a new “neurobehavioral metric” for the financial cost of “irrational exuberance” and could be used as quantitative and parametric biomarker in other contexts where humans overvalue.
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bad acts or outcomes like compulsive gambling, overeating, or drug addiction (29).

Another neurobehvioral finding is a positive association between trading performance and selling when neural activity in the anterior insula is elevated. The insula signal may reflect increased perception of risk (21-23) or of uncomfortable bodily states (19, 20). The presence of an elevated insula signal in the high earners before the price peak, its concurrent absence in the low earners, its association with trading pattern changes, and the stronger neurobehvioral insula-selling link among high earners suggest that increased neural activity in the right anterior insula may constitute an early warning signal for these individuals to begin switching from the risky to the risk-free asset. The evidence from both of our neurobehavioral metrics is consistent with the study by Kuhnen and Knutson (26), who show that activations in both of these regions can lead to shifts in risk preferences.

Many theories of bubbles in large natural markets depend on economic agents’ incentives (30) or on market structure (31). A recent literature examines the interaction between valuation and media coverage (32-34). Another explanation involves traders who get internal signals (or “hunches”) that a bubble exists; bubbles then persist because traders who get early signals keep buying, expecting that the other traders’ signals will not arrive until later (35). However, these theories do not specify neural mechanisms (36). Our evidence is consistent with bubble accounts based on bounded rationality, emotion, and neural activity (2-9, 16-18, 36, 37). Warren Buffett famously said, “Be fearful when others are greedy, and greedy when others are fearful.” Our experimental results support the first part of his advice to a surprising degree: Wiser traders who begin selling when their insula is active (indicating discomfort) sell a few periods before the peak to traders with the highest “greed,” measured by increased NAckett-buying sensitivity.

Our results contribute to understanding the biological basis of group valuation in natural asset markets. Modern examples of bubbles in the last three decades include shares in Japan, in China, and in the US high-tech sector, and housing in many countries. Bubbles redistribute enormous wealth and can leave long-lasting macroeconomic scars, and are therefore important to both investors and policymakers. In 1996, Federal Reserve Chair Alan Greenspan asked: “But how do we know when irrational exuberance has unduly escalated asset values?” (38). His successor, Ben Bernanke, suggested “progress will require careful empirical research with attention to psychological as well as economic factors” (39). Our results point in the direction of theories based on an interaction between traders with exuberant valuation and forward-looking traders who ride bubbles, and then sell when they feel uncertain.

Materials and Methods

We conducted 16 experiment sessions (2 in October 2011, 8 in January 2012, and 6 in April 2012) with 320 total subjects. Each session included between 11 and 23 subjects (mean, 20; min, 11; max, 23). The majority of our subjects (276 total) were University of California, Los Angeles, students participating in the experiment at the California Social Science Experimental Laboratory (CASSEL). In addition, 2-3 individuals per session (44 total) took part in the experiment from two locations at the Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute (Blacksburg and Roanoke, VA). These subjects underwent fMRI of their neural activity during the experiment. All participants were networked together via a special experiment software package, NEMO, to conduct the experiment. NEMO was designed for use with multiple subjects in the scanner.

Of the 44 total subjects we scanned, 2 subjects were dropped because of technical issues with syncing behavioral and functional imaging data. One additional subject was dropped because the subject had no “Sell” transactions, leaving 41 fMRI subjects.

Behavior-only participants received a $5 show-up payment, whereas subjects who underwent fMRI scanning received an additional payment of $50. Before trading began, the experimenters read the instructions aloud. Subjects then took a five-question quiz (reproduced below), after which the experimenters reviewed the quiz answers. In addition, subjects participated in three practice trading rounds before live trading began.
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